UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Eastern District of Michigan

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Ubaldo Castaneda-Tapia	Case Number: 14-30464
Defendant	
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 detention of the defendant pending trial in this c	U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the ase.
	Part I—Findings of Fact
or local offense that would have been a a crime of violence as defined in 1 an offense for which the maximum	ise described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a federal offense state a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed - that is 8 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). In sentence is life imprisonment or death. Been convicted of a federal offense state a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed - that is 8 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). Been convicted of a federal offense state a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed - that is 8 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).
a falony that was committed after	the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C.
§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable (2) The offense described in finding (1) was a period of not more than five years has for the offense described in finding (1) (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish	e state or local offenses. as committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. as elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment
surety of (an) other person(s) and the e	Alternative Findings (A)
(1) There is probable cause to believe that	the defendant has committed an offense
under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	risonment of ten years or more is prescribed in umption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure
the appearance of the defendant as requ	uired and the safety of the community.
	Alternative Findings (B)
(1) There is a serious risk that the defenda (2) There is a serious risk that the defenda	nt will not appear. nt will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
	II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention nation submitted at the hearing establishes by
to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting reasonable opportunity for private consultation Government, the person in charge of the correct in connection with a court proceeding.	Part III—Directions Regarding Detention the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the ons facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance
September 16, 2014	s/ Mona K. Majzoub
Date	Signature of Judge

MONA K. MAJZOUB - UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Name and Title of Judge

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

14-30464 USA v Ubaldo Castaneda-Tapia

Defendant is charged with Re-Entry of a Removed Alien. On September 3, 2104 Defendant was arrested in Shelby Township, Michigan, having been previously removed to Mexico most recently on May 8, 2014, at or near El Paso, Texas. Apparently Defendant's mother and family are living here illegally, and Defendant, who first came to this country with his mother when he was 11 years old, continues to return to her home after being removed to Mexico on multiple occasions.

Defendant admits that has no legal status in this country. A detainer has been lodged with INS. He has been arrested and removed on three occasions in the past: August 3, 2010, September 17, 2013 and May 8, 2014.

A preponderance of the evidence establishes that Defendant poses a risk of nonappearance but not a danger to the community. At the age of 18 he was charged with possession of alcohol by a minor, but he has no other convictions.

Little is known about his community ties or his employment. There is no information about his financial situation, his health status, or any other pertinent details that Pretrial Services usually evaluates due to the fact that no interview was conducted. He does have retained counsel, however, who is aggressively pursuing his immigration case.

Pretrial Services recommends Detention and this Court concurs. There is no condition or combination of conditions that would assure Defendant's appearance in Court. Therefore Detention is Ordered.